

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/505,282	Applicant(s) IKENISHI ET AL.
	Examiner LOUIS FALASCO	Art Unit 1794

All Participants:

(1) LOUIS FALASCO.

(2) Arthur R. Crawford.

Date of Interview: 16 June 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description:

Status of Application: Pending

(3) _____
(4) _____

Time: 4:35pm

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17 and 19

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
it was agreed to amend the claims to require a molar percentage and correct antecedent bases for claim terms, cancel claims dependant on cancelled claims and have dependant claims further limit the claims which they depend.

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/L. F./
 Examiner, Art Unit 1794

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)